



Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, 56, 10631

Exploration of advanced porous organic polymers as a platform for biomimetic catalysis and molecular recognition

Pengcheng Zhang,^a Sai Wang,^{bc} Shengqian Ma,^{id}^c Feng-Shou Xiao^{id}^d and Qi Sun^{id}^{*a}

Nature has long been a dominant source of inspiration in the area of chemistry, serving as prototypes for the design of materials with proficient performance. In this Feature article, we present our efforts to explore porous organic polymers (POPs) as a platform for the construction of biomimetic materials to enable new technologies to achieve efficient conversions and molecular recognition. For each aspect, we first present the chemical basis of nature, followed by depicting the principles and design strategies involved for functionalizing POPs along with a summary of critical requirements for materials, culminating in a demonstration of unique features of POPs. Our endeavours in using POPs to address the fundamental scientific problems related to biomimetic catalysis and adsorption are then illustrated to show their enormous potential and capabilities for applications ranging from concerted catalysis to radionuclide sequestration. To conclude, we present a personal perspective on the challenges and opportunities in this emerging field.

Received 23rd June 2020,
Accepted 4th August 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0cc04351f

rsc.li/chemcomm

Introduction


Natural selection has resulted in the evolution of numerous processes, materials, and structures optimized for a broad range of functions.¹ The extremely efficient catalysis and molecular recognition by nature has inspired researchers to imitate

it. “Biomimetic chemistry” is a term introduced to describe chemistry which attempts to utilize the fundamental principles and concepts used in biological systems to improve artificial systems’ power.² After years of development, the field of biomimetic chemistry has encompassed several subfields that mainly include biomimetic catalysis, biomimetic reaction pathways, bio-inspired molecular recognition, and bioinspired self-assembly.³ Gaining inspiration or applying these design principles has proven to be a unique approach to addressing critical global challenges such as safe water and clean energy. Numerous synthetic biomimetic systems have been developed, including supramolecules,⁴ metal-organic frameworks (MOF),⁵ and porous organic materials.⁶

^a College of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310027, China. E-mail: sunqichs@zju.edu.cn

^b Key Lab of Applied Chemistry of Zhejiang Province, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310028, China

^c Department of Chemistry, University of North Texas, 1508 W Mulberry St Denton, TX, 76201, USA



Pengcheng Zhang

Mr Pengcheng Zhang received his BS from China University of Mining and Technology in 2018. He is currently a master's candidate in Zhejiang University under the supervision of Dr Qi Sun. His current research interests include the design of porous organic polymer-based membranes for advanced applications.



Sai Wang

Mr Sai Wang received his BS from Zhejiang University in 2017. He is currently a PhD candidate in Zhejiang University under the supervision of Prof. Feng-Shou Xiao. His current research interests include the design of porous organic polymers for catalytic applications.

In this Feature article, we will present our efforts on biomimetic catalysis and molecular recognition, which generally refer to catalysis or adsorption that mimics certain key features of natural systems using porous organic polymers (POPs).

POPs are assembled by covalently linking organic molecules into two dimensional (2D) or three dimensional (3D) frameworks, having been leveraged for applications ranging from catalysis and environmental remediation to gas storage and separation.⁷ According to the degree of long-range order, POPs can be separated into two categories: amorphous and crystalline, whereby crystalline POPs are specifically named covalent organic frameworks (COFs).⁸ An endless number of organic struts can be connected *via* an expansive list of organic reactions to afford porous material with limitless structure types. Further tunability in these materials can be achieved by targeting mixed ligand frameworks and post-synthetic modification.




Fig. 1 Exploration of POPs as a platform for biomimetic catalysis and molecular recognition.



Shengqian Ma

Shengqian Ma obtained his BS degree from Jilin University, China in 2003, and graduated from Miami University (Ohio) with a PhD degree in 2008. After finishing a two-year Director's Postdoctoral Fellowship at Argonne National Laboratory, he joined the Department of Chemistry at University of South Florida as an Assistant Professor in 2010; he was promoted to an Associate Professor with early tenure in 2015 and to a Full

Professor in 2018. In August 2020, he joined University of North Texas as the Robert A. Welch Chair in Chemistry. His current research interest focuses on the development of functional porous materials.

The increasingly sophisticated synthetic strategies enable the precise spatial arrangement of chemical functionalities on porous structures. Moreover, the functional space within the pores of polymers provides an ideal environment for hosting catalytic components, further diversifying the catalyst design. The tunable porous structure facilitates mass transfer and adds new properties to the functionalities such as adjustable wettability. These attributes have allowed them to be used as an attractive platform for biomimetic material design. With the advent of POPs, great improvements have been made, as this type of materials simultaneously addresses the lack of designability of conventional materials, such as activated carbon, and the chemical stability issues that some advanced materials face, such as MOFs.

We have been working on exploring POPs for biomimetic catalysis and molecular recognition over the past several years (Fig. 1). In constructing biomimetic catalysts, we have focused on enzyme mimicry, including cofactors, scaffolds, reaction pathways, and reaction environments, in POPs, as well as on



Feng-Shou Xiao

Prof. Feng-Shou Xiao received his BS and MS degrees from Jilin University, China. From there he as a PhD student moved to Hokkaido University, Japan, where he was involved in collaborative research between China and Japan. He was awarded his PhD degree at Jilin University in 1990. After postdoctoral work at University of California at Davis, USA, he joined the faculty at Jilin University in 1994, where he is a

full and distinguished professor of Chemistry. As a distinguished professor, he moved to Zhejiang University in 2009. His research is devoted to porous materials.



Qi Sun

Dr Qi Sun received her PhD in chemistry from Zhejiang University in 2014. After postdoctoral research at University of South Florida, she joined the College of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Zhejiang University, as a "Hundred Talent" tenure-track professor in September 2019. Her research interests include developing functional porous organic polymers and other nanostructured materials for

catalysis and environmental remediation applications.

cell mimics with the utilization of POPs for hosting enzymes to facilitate efficient catalysis. In an attempt to imitate some of the factors involved in biological molecular recognition, we have developed several strategies, such as employing second-sphere interactions to reinforce the primary binding, enforcing the orientation of the ligands in the materials to improve their cooperation and, thus, the binding affinity, and increasing the flexibility of the functionalities to facilitate the formation of polydentate complexes. This Feature article summarizes our progress in the design of biomimetic POPs for catalysis and molecular recognition.

Porous organic polymers as a platform for biomimetic catalysis

Enzymes confer exquisite efficiency and selectivity to reactions by highly selective binding and activation of multiple components.⁹ The unique ability of enzymes to facilitate chemical transformations has long been of interest to researchers. In this section, we exemplify our efforts in engineering POPs for biomimetic catalysis (Fig. 2).

1. Cofactor mimics

A cofactor is a substance that increases the rate of enzymatic catalysis. Cofactor mimics encompass most of the current




Fig. 3 Chemical structure of the porphyrin of chlorophyll mimics.

activities in biomimetic catalysis.¹⁰ Porphyrins and their metallo derivatives are enzyme cofactors found ubiquitously throughout the natural world in all domains of life (Fig. 3).¹¹ Porphyrin-containing proteins are involved in plentiful central cellular processes, being referred to as the “pigments of life”. For example, chlorophyll, a reduced magnesium porphyrin, is




Fig. 2 Chemical structures of biomimetic POP-based catalysts mentioned in this Feature article.

vital for photosynthesis to sustain life. Given their unique roles in light-harvesting and energy and electron transfer, porphyrin-based molecules have been extensively explored to mimic natural biological systems. Based on these efficient natural active species, many artificial porphyrin-based catalytic systems have been developed. However, molecular porphyrins are inclined to form catalytically inactive dimers, thereby showing much lower efficiency in comparison with their counterparts in nature. This concern can be effectively addressed *via* the incorporation of metalloporphyrin moieties into rigid porous solid materials resulting in site isolation.¹²

Considering that extensive attention has been paid to materials' development for the transformation of CO₂ into value-added products and that porphyrins and their related materials have shown considerable potential in this field,¹³ we have constructed a series of metallated porphyrin moieties into porous frameworks and exploited the resulting materials to confer increased activity and stability in the CO₂ transformation process.¹⁴ As a representative example, Co/POP-TPP, which was synthesized by the polymerization of tetrastyrylporphyrin, followed by the metalation of Co species, exhibited excellent performance in terms of activity and recyclability in the cycloaddition of epoxides with CO₂ in ambient conditions with the assistance of tetrabutylammonium bromide (*n*-Bu₄NBr). A wide array of electronically and structurally diverse epoxides can be converted efficiently at a reactant-to-catalyst molar ratio of around 2000, placing them among the top in the reported systems. Due to the site isolation of the porphyrin moieties in Co/POP-TPP, which inhibits self-quenching, this material can be recycled at least 18 times with negligible loss in activity. To further demonstrate the utility of Co/POP-TPP under practical conditions, flue gas which contains approximately 15% CO₂ at a total pressure of around 1 bar was used as a source of CO₂. Co/POP-TPP afforded an epichlorohydrin conversion of 45.4% with a carbonate product selectivity of 88.7% after 48 hours, outperforming its homogeneous counterpart, which afforded corresponding values of 37.4% and 81.0%, respectively, thus underscoring the great potential of Co/POP-TPP for CO₂ transformations.

2. Mimetic scaffolds

Numerous proteins display functional promiscuity by the seamless integration of multiple partners, whereby flexible loops surrounding the active sites play an essential role in substrate and cofactor organization in the catalyst, allowing for the cooperation of various functionalities.¹⁵ The combination of multiple catalytically relevant elements into a single material has aroused a great deal of interest. However, it remains a tremendous challenge to mimic the functional features of the secondary structures of enzymes, as, in artificial systems, these components are usually spatially isolated within rigid frameworks. Therefore, our strategy to improve the cooperation of various catalytic elements in POPs was underpinned by the impartment of flexibility to the immobilized catalytic elements (Fig. 4). Considering the conformational variability and dynamism of linear polymeric chains, we took this advantage and proposed a valid strategy that was accomplished by host-guest assembly *i.e.*, the infiltration of catalytically active linear




Fig. 4 Concept illustration of mimetic scaffolds by the insertion of ionic linear polymers into a COF bearing a Lewis acid, which enables heterogeneous concerted catalysis.

polymers in a porous solid catalyst to enable heterogeneous concerted catalysis. To achieve catalysis, composite materials bearing two catalytically active species (PPS-COF-TpBpy-Cu) were synthesized from the *in situ* polymerization of linear ionic polymers with Br⁻ counter ions in a COF with Lewis acid sites.¹⁶ The flexibility of the linear polymers enables two types of active sites to be proximate, facilitating the double-activation process. The high porosity and open one-dimensional pore channel of the resulting composite enable ready access of the reactants to the active sites. In the cycloaddition of the epoxides and CO₂, the composite's catalytic efficiency was enhanced for all substrates, with a notable 20-fold increase in activity towards the carbonate product compared to single ones. Kinetic studies revealed that the cooperativity in the composites resulted in much lower activation energy than the physical mixtures of catalytic components in the fixation of CO₂.¹⁷ The locally enriched concentration of the catalytic species in the resulting composite catalysts led to a higher utilization efficiency, outperforming the benchmark catalytic system of the combination of the molecular ionic salt and the COF catalyst. To fully comprehend the flexibility of the linear polymers in the catalyst, they were cross-linked, which led to a significant decrease in activity, indicating that the flexibility matters. More significantly, the composite is robust and can be repeatedly used for at least ten cycles. These findings described the basis for a new design concept for achieving cooperative catalysis. Given that the involved strategy for targeting the composites is simple and effective, it will promote the development of materials for numerous applications.

3. Cascade catalysis

Nature utilizes multicomponent catalytic systems to convert simple starting molecules into complex materials, during which the multistep reaction cascades of cellular metabolism are highly coordinated. The concept of cascade transformation was developed to mimic nature, referring to processes that combine various chemical steps in one pot without isolating the intermediates such that the operating time and costs, as well as the consumption of auxiliary chemicals and energy, can be drastically reduced (Fig. 5).¹⁸ With these advantages, the design of catalytic cascade systems is at the forefront of synthetic chemistry. Proper spatial distribution of active sites is necessary for achieving an efficient cascade formulation. The




Fig. 5 Schematic illustration of cascade transformations, which are frequently adopted by nature.

unique architectures and tunability of 2D COFs, which allow the spatial arrangement of the functionalities to be precisely managed, are expected to act as an ideal platform for the combination of multiple catalytically relevant elements to bring into effect cascade catalysis. By virtue of the versatile catalytically related property of pyridine, which could serve as a nitrogen donor to incorporate metal species and a base catalyst, a pyridine-based COF (COF-TpPa-Py), synthesized by the condensation of triformylphloroglucinol and 2,5-diaminopyridine, was chosen for this study.¹⁹ After partial metallization of the pyridine moieties in COF-TpPa-Py with Pd(OAc)₂, the resulting bifunctional material, Pd/COF-TpPa-Py, showed high activities in the one-pot cascade synthesis involving Pd-catalyzed aerobic oxidation of alcohols to aldehydes and the subsequent base-catalyzed Knoevenagel condensation. The well-distributed and highly accessible catalytic species in Pd/COF-TpPa-Py are responsible for the observed superior performance. With these unique attributes, the COF-based cascade catalytic system outperformed the corresponding amorphous polymer and homogeneous analogues in terms of turnover frequency, highlighting that COFs give great promise for the design of heterogeneous cascade catalysis.

4. Mimicking the reaction environment

The catalytic performance of active sites is closely related to the microenvironment where they are situated. In the vast majority of enzymes, the catalytic center is surrounded by a protein superstructure (Fig. 6). Non-covalent interactions are involved in most functions of enzymatic catalysts, such as (i) confining the active site, (ii) changes in solvation, and (iii) creating a hydrophobic pocket.²⁰ Activation of the reagent and stabilization of the intermediates are generally ascribed as the origins of the enhanced catalytic performance. Rather than altering the active sites in a way that influences the catalytic elementary steps, the creation of a suitable microenvironment could be




Fig. 6 Concept illustration of the biomimetic reaction environment, where the catalytic performance of the active sites could be regulated by the non-covalent interactions provided by the confined space.

leveraged to specifically accomplish the target steps, without sacrificing reactivity elsewhere along the catalytic cycle. In this part, we present our efforts to control the reaction environment in artificial catalysts, illuminating the development of genuinely competitive artificial enzymes.

(i) Confining the active sites. The advent of supramolecular assemblies allows the performance of the encapsulated catalytically active species to be tuned by rational assembly host design.⁴ However, it remains a remarkable challenge to precisely manipulate the outer-sphere environment around the catalytic centers in heterogeneous catalysts. By virtue of the well-defined confined environment of supramolecular assemblies, we advanced a new type of heterogeneous reaction vessel constructed from supramolecular ion pairs.²¹ Using a cationic template equipped with polymerizable vinyl groups [(4-vinyl-benzyl)-tris-(4-vinyl-phenyl)-phosphonium chloride], a variety of anionic ligands (triphenylphosphine, 4,5-bis(diphenylphosphino)-9,9-dimethylxanthene, and 1,10-phenanthroline) can be confined in the resulting supramolecular assemblies, which were further polymerized to afford the corresponding porous frameworks, named PSA-PPh₃, PSA-Xantphos, and PSA-Phen, respectively. The created confined microenvironment, featuring a hydrophilic ionic outer-sphere and hydrophobic ligand pocket, facilitates the enrichment of the substrate near the active sites, thus giving rise to superior activity and selectivity in the industrially relevant hydroformylation reaction, far outperforming the non-encapsulated complex.²² In detail, in the hydroformylation of 1-octene, an aldehyde selectivity up to 98% was achieved with a linear to branched product ratio of 39 in the presence of Rh metallated PSA-Xantphos. Additionally, the assembly structures are stable during the reaction, as evidenced by the fact that the catalysts can be repeatedly used with maintained performance. These results collectively represent a way to emulate enzymes to impart additional properties to heterogeneous catalysts beyond current techniques. Given that the assembled catalyst system is readily tunable, this will stimulate new nano-objects with interesting catalytic properties.

(ii) Changes in solvation. Numerous research results suggest that the H₂O molecules and other polar residues are

optimally organized to achieve stable transition states in enzymatic catalysis, and consequently improve the reaction efficiency.²³ Regarding synthetic catalytic systems, it has long been known that the outcomes of chemical transformations are highly dependent on the solvation environment, and solvents are commonly used to control the reaction outcomes. Numerous catalytic transformations show superb results, being carried out in polar aprotic solvents, such as ionic liquids and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). However, product isolation from these solvents is exceptionally complicated, usually accompanied by side reactions.²⁴ To tackle this challenge, the development of separation-friendly alternatives that could mimic the desired solvation environment to aid the accomplishment of those transformations is highly sought after. However, the transformation from the liquid phase to the solid-state realm remains a great challenge. It necessitates the precise control of the spatial distribution and dynamic interactions between the involved partners. We postulated that the development of POPs might provide a possible solution. The flexibility and tunability of polymer chains can offer a solvent-like reaction environment, wherein the catalytic sites could be readily incorporated in a designated way. To implement this strategy, we first equipped the solvent moieties (NMP, DMSO, and an imidazolium-type ionic liquid) with vinyl groups for the potential construction of porous frameworks, with the yielded porous solid solvents (PSSs) named PSS-NMP, PSS-DMSO, and PSS-IL, respectively.²⁵ Given the densely populated solvent moieties in the 3D nano space of PSSs, we envisioned that the solvation effect could be maintained in their solid state. To validate this hypothesis, we tested their ability to break the hydrogen-bonding network present in fructose crystals, as the hydrogen bond acceptors on these polymers are expected to coordinate with the hydroxyl group on fructose, reminiscent of that seen in dissolving. To do so, we mixed the PSSs with fructose and performed mechanical grinding to facilitate their interaction. The XRD peaks associated with fructose disappeared entirely in the resulting composites, indicating that the polymeric solvents retained the solvation effect of their liquid analogues. For the sake of imparting catalytic ability, monomer-bearing sulfonic-acid groups were incorporated *via* the co-polymerization of the vinyl-functionalized solvent monomers and sodium *p*-styrene sulfonate in a specific ratio, followed by ion-exchange with 1 M HCl, yielding PSS-xIL-SO₃H. To test their catalytic efficiency, we evaluated their performance in the dehydration of fructose to produce 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF). Encouragingly, these materials exhibited exceptional performance even when using THF as a readily separable reaction medium, giving rise to an HMF yield of 98.8%, which significantly exceeded the traditional acid catalytic system and was comparable to those operated in DMSO or ionic liquids. Therefore, we concluded that the densely populated solvent moieties around the acid sites could create a desired solvation environment in the confined nano space, leading to satisfactory catalytic performance.

Nonetheless, the synthesis of the vinyl-functionalized solvent moieties is cumbersome, which significantly impedes their wide application. To circumvent this challenge, we proposed an alternative strategy, *i.e.*, the infiltration of the desired polymeric solvent analogues close to the active sites in porous catalytically

active materials.²⁶ Considering that linear polymers are easy-to-get, this strategy dramatically simplifies the catalytic materials' synthetic procedure. By leveraging the benefits of both molecular and solid materials, the linear polymers confined in the pore channels enable them to create a solvation environment for the active sites anchored on the COF pore walls while providing the additional benefit of recyclability. To demonstrate the proof-of-concept, composite materials of sulfonic acid functionalized COFs and polyvinyl pyrrolidone linear polymers (PVP@[SO₃H]_x-COF) were synthesized to evaluate their performance in the aforementioned dehydration of fructose to yield HMF. Also, the resulting catalysts afforded HMF as a single product with nearly full conversion, placing them among the top systems reported thus far. Given the tunability, this strategy might provide a powerful toolbox for the design of efficient and green chemical processes.

(iii) Creating a hydrophobic pocket. Hydrophobic effects positively affect the enzymatic reaction by creating a reaction pocket where the hydrophobic substrates could be accumulated near the active sites and/or the reaction equilibrium could be broken by excluding the hydrophilic product, such as water, away from the catalytic pocket. Researchers have developed various hydrophobic cavities to mimic enzymes' remarkable abilities to achieve efficient chemical conversions. Additionally, increasing the hydrophobicity of solid materials represents a promising solution to combat failure associated with water absorptivity.²⁷ One issue that impedes the practical applications of plenty of efficient catalytic systems is their durability. Numerous efficient catalytic systems suffer from inherent hydrolysis problems, and on various occasions it is impossible to exclude water from the systems entirely due to it being a common by-product in a wide range of reactions. To address these concerns, we demonstrated an effective strategy for stabilizing water-sensitive ligands through the construction of superhydrophobic polymers. This was realized by the introduction of hierarchical porosities during the polymerization processes.²⁸ We chose phosphite ligands to demonstrate this strategy, as they have proven to be efficient for various reactions, and the P-O bonds are inclined to hydrolyze. Through careful modification of the polymerization conditions, the vinyl-functionalized phosphite monomers were successfully transformed into a hierarchically porous structure (phosphite-POP), which renders them superhydrophobicity, thus improving the water resistance. After metalation, the resulting superhydrophobic porous catalyst exhibited significantly increased durability in the hydroformylation of internal olefins compared with the corresponding homogeneous analogues. In addition to being inhibited from entering into the reaction pocket, the water molecules generated *in situ* within the scaffold can be effectively repelled, as demonstrated in Pd-catalyzed dehydrative allylation. As such, the Pd metallated phosphite polymer remained active for at least 40 h, whereas the corresponding homogeneous analogue was deactivated within 8 h. Furthermore, due to the enrichment of the nonpolar reactants within the hydrophobic nanopores, the polymeric phosphite ligands showed an accelerated reaction rate compared to the homogeneous counterpart.

Moreover, this strategy is generally applicable, and can be readily extended to other phosphite ligands with improved durability. Our work thus opens a new perspective to enhance catalysts' water-resistance and activity simultaneously.

5. Cell mimics

Due to the challenges associated with the operating range and durability, cell-free enzymes have not found wide use in industrial settings. To tackle these concerns, association of enzymes with solid supports has shown great promise.²⁹ We showed that COFs possess the right features relevant for hosting enzymes, namely tunability, porosity, crystallinity, and stability (Fig. 7).³⁰ With the tailorable composition, their pore environments can be readily regulated to favor specific interactions between COFs and enzymes to achieve the optimal reaction outcomes. The continuous and confined open channels could afford a highly accessible interface surface area for enzyme hosting while inhibiting their aggregation. With these merits, the COFs provided a higher affinity for enzyme loading and a preferential microenvironment that offered orders-of-magnitude higher catalytic activities than that using other types of porous hosts, silica and MOFs, as demonstrated in the kinetic resolution of racemic 1-phenylethanol with vinyl acetate. The COF-based biocomposites (lipase@COF-OMe) also exhibited superior performance compared to the composites made from their amorphous analogues, thus underscoring the critical role of long-range order porous structures. In addition to the enhanced activity, it is shown that the resistance of enzymes towards various solvent/temperature conditions could be significantly improved after being infiltrated in the COFs, indicative of the enormous potential of the COFs for enzyme immobilization.

Given the divergent reaction outcomes after associating the enzyme with various materials, fundamental elucidation of the role of the host's pore chemistry in the resulting composites' performance is critical for achieving more efficient formulations. However, it remains a significant challenge to establish the connection between the degrees of freedom of the enzyme

and the varied pore chemistry of the host materials. We showed that the combination of innovative technology of site-directed spin-labelling-electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy (SDSL-EPR) with COF materials provides a powerful route to establishing such a structure–performance relationship.³¹ By designing a set of isorecticular COFs with continuously varying levels of wettability, we revealed that increasing the host material's hydrophilicity results in more constrained conformations of the lysozyme and decreased activity. We also demonstrated that the hydrophilic elements on the struts could be directly translated into interaction between the resulting COF and the enzyme and that the extent of this effect is proportional to the density of the installed hydrophilic moieties. These findings suggest that altering the hydrophilicity of the host material provides a way to manipulate the performance of the resulting biocomposites.

The examples mentioned above demonstrated that the performance of enzymes could be sophisticatedly optimized by regulating the wettability of isostructural 2D COFs, giving rise to orders of magnitude higher catalytic activities in comparison with the free enzymes. However, there is plenty of headroom when the following concerns are considered. The long reactant transportation path results in the infiltrated enzymes being partly buried in the interior, which inevitably leads to insufficient utilization of the enzymes. To alleviate such transport limitations, we designed two hydrophobic COF materials (COF-ETTA-EDDA and COF-ETTA-DMDA) with hierarchical pore structures, whereby the big pores are used for the immobilization of enzyme molecules, and the small pores are used explicitly for the acceleration of mass transfer,³² such that enzymes infiltrated in dual-pore COFs exhibited superior reactant accessibility and higher utilization efficiency, as well as more excellent resistance to denaturants, compared to those immobilized in COFs with single pore structures. Detailed kinetic evaluations reveal that the pore heterogeneity facilitates mass transfer during the reaction, leading to rate enhancements of up to three times per enzyme molecule. Also, it was found that the enzyme associated with the dual-pore COFs was more tolerant towards a detrimental by-product of glycerol in the transesterification of triacylglycerides to fatty acid ethyl esters. The unparalleled versatility of COFs allows many aspects to be custom designed, lending credence to their prospect as next-generation host materials for enzymes.




Fig. 7 Concept illustration of COFs as cell mimics for hosting enzymes.

Porous organic polymers as a platform for biomimetic molecular recognition

Nature has produced strategies to recognize target ions with high selectivity over millennia of evolution.³³ Chemists have looked to nature for the design of more efficient and selective adsorbents to enable challenging extraction. From the perspective of chemistry, the general principles involved in nature to enhance the binding affinity include providing secondary sphere interactions to the distal sites off of the metal centers to enforce a correct geometry and exert charge stabilization, and




Fig. 8 Chemical structures of biomimetic POP-based adsorbents mentioned in this Feature article.

preorganization of the binding sites to facilitate cooperation.^{33a} To use these concepts, our design strategies involved to achieve high-performance POPs include: introducing hydrogen bond donors to reinforce the primary binding, enforcing the orientation of the binding sites to increase their accessibility and cooperation, and increasing the flexibility of the chelator to facilitate the formation of polydentate complexes. Prompted by the increased necessity of protecting freshwater supplies and deficiency of precious metal, the following section will present our progress in the deployment of POPs for radionuclide sequestration, heavy metal decontamination, and platinum group element recovery, and unparalleled efficiency has been achieved by judicious implantation of the concepts mentioned above (Fig. 8).

The chemical toxicity and radioactivity of uranium pose severe environmental risks. In addition, the uranium deficiency in geological deposits provokes mining uranium from seawater, the largest known resource, to safeguard the sustainable development of nuclear energy. Accomplishing these tasks requires the development of technology that can extract uranium economically and efficiently.³⁴ In order to enhance the affinity of chelators towards uranium, we were inspired by nature, in which the performance of the binding sites can be greatly boosted by the hydrogen bonding interaction contributed by the surrounding amino acid residues in the protein scaffold (Fig. 9). We postulated that the affinity of binding sites towards uranyl ions could be increased by incorporating a hydrogen bond donor orientated in a suitable position. Indeed, improvements in uranium capture with sorbents bearing amidoxime groups are evident with the addition of an amino, which can be further adjusted by their relative positions. The porous framework constructed from 2-aminobenzamidoxime (POP-*o*NH₂-AO) was exceptional in the decontamination of uranium polluted surface water and groundwater.³⁵ The uranium concentration can be rapidly decreased from 5 ppm to lower than 2 ppb within 5 min, far below the acceptable limit of 30 ppb for potable water set by the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Nearly two and four times longer operation times are required for POP-*p*NH₂-AO and POP-AO (constructed from 4-aminobenzamidoxime and benzamidoxime, respectively) to reduce UO₂²⁺ ion concentrations




Fig. 9 Concept illustration of the introduction of the secondary sphere interactions to reinforce the primary binding.

to a similar level. Moreover, POP-*o*NH₂-AO can effectively extract trace quantities of uranium in seawater, affording an uptake capacity of 4.36 mg g⁻¹, which is three-fold more than the benchmark developed by Japanese scientists. Collaborative spectroscopic and crystallographic experiments and DFT calculations revealed that the superb performance of POP-*o*NH₂-AO mainly stemmed from the fact that the amino group in close proximity to the amidoxime not only provides an additional hydrogen-binding site to enforce uranyl species in a favorable coordination mode but also lowers the overall charge on uranyl.

The efficiency of the strategy prompted us to apply it in other systems. Recovery tests for platinum group elements showed that incorporating the amino group in pyridine-based porous polymers (POP-*p*NH₂-Py and POP-*o*NH₂-Py) could increase the nucleophilicity of the pyridine moiety for achieving a higher binding affinity toward Pd species.³⁶ Furthermore, as revealed by single-crystal results, due to hydrogen bonding between the added amino group and chloride ions coordinated on the Pd species, the amino group in the *ortho* position relative to the pyridine moiety produced a more robust complex with Pd species compared to its *para* counterpart, resulting in a higher recovery efficiency.

To further illustrate the generality, we extended this strategy to the design of ion exchange materials. It was shown that

the affinity of pyridinium-based anion nanotraps towards perchlorate (TcO_4^-) could be altered by varying the substituted group on the pyridinium. Regulating the modifier enables the resulting anion nanotraps to possess intriguing properties as ideal TcO_4^- scavengers (PQA-Py-Cl, PQA-*p*NH₂Py-Cl, and PQA-*p*N(Me)₂Py-Cl), which substantially overcome the tremendous challenge of TcO_4^- removal under extreme conditions of strong radiation fields as well as super acidity and basicity.³⁷ The best material constructed from 4-(dimethylamino)-1-methylpyridinium chloride exhibited the highest sorption capacity and unprecedented extraction efficiencies under conditions relevant to used fuel reprocessing solution in 3 M nitric acid (97%), as well as legacy nuclear waste from Hanford tank waste (95%) and from the Savannah River Sites (80%). This can be attributed to the fact that the addition of an electron-rich group of dimethylamino facilitates the formation of kinetically labile complexes, which allows for rapid guest exchange. Our insightful studies describe how slight modifications to the binding sites can greatly boost their performance, which could be readily extended to a multitude of applications.

Besides the local chemical environment, materials' pore structures also show a great impact on the sorption performance. Given the fact that a fraction of grafted chelators were found to be buried in the small and irregular pores, thus compromising the overall efficiency, we delineated essential advances towards implementing 2D COFs for the construction of sorbent materials. Due to the unique pore structure of this type of material, the chelators are uncovered and aligned in periodic arrays on the pore wall, which facilitates trapping of ions by accelerating mass transfer and enabling cooperative binding (Fig. 10). Increased uptake capacity and removal efficiency were observed for adsorbents designed using a COF as a platform compared to their amorphous counterparts, as exemplified by uranium extraction. The COF-based sorbent (COF-TpDb-AO) prepared by the condensation of 2,5-diaminobenzonitrile with triformylphloroglucinol followed by reacting with hydroxylamine outperformed the corresponding




Fig. 10 Schematic illustration of chelating groups in COF materials, which are uncovered and aligned in periodic arrays on the pore wall, favorable for ion trapping by accelerating mass transfer and enabling cooperative binding.




Fig. 11 Schematic illustration of increasing the flexibility of chelators for enhancing the cooperative binding and, thus, the binding affinity.

amorphous analogues in every aspect such as adsorption kinetics and uptake capacity.³⁸ More importantly, the convergent orientation of the amidoxime groups on the COF allows their cooperative binding with uranyl ions, unveiled by X-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) spectroscopy, thus leading to a distribution coefficient value that is one order of magnitude higher than that of the amorphous counterpart.

It is well-known that most protein receptors are flexible, promoting cooperative binding and, consequently, the accompanying efficiency (Fig. 11). Head-to-head comparisons were made with the COF decorated with flexible ligands (COF-S-SH) and rigid chelators (COF-S-Ph-SH) in the decontamination of mercury to gauge the importance of the flexibility of chelating groups for achieving high performance.³⁹ As expected, COF-S-SH outperformed COF-S-Ph-SH in both mercury removal kinetics and efficiency. In detail, the remaining mercury concentration after COF-S-SH treatment is under the detect limit of inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS, 0.1 ppb), which is at least 10-fold lower than that treated with COF-S-Ph-SH (1.3 ppb). Given the similar compositions of COF-S-SH and COF-S-Ph-SH, their significant disparity in removal efficiency can be attributed to the different chelator properties. The flexible chelating arms in COF-S-SH allow metal ions to adopt a stable conformation by changing their conformations and, thus, the binding affinity. XAFS spectra confirmed that in COF-S-SH, each Hg was bound exclusively by two S, while only 78% of Hg species were bonded with two S atoms, rendering COF-S-SH an unprecedentedly high binding affinity towards mercury. In addition to this, COF-S-SH also showed higher adsorption capacities than COF-S-Ph-SH, affording a saturation of Hg^{2+} ions and Hg^0 vapor uptake capacity of 1350 mg g^{-1} and 863 mg g^{-1} , respectively, which surpassed by far all of the thiol and thioether-based materials reported.

Challenges and perspectives

As discussed in the examples given above, POPs have been demonstrated to be excellent platforms for catalysis and molecular recognition from different biomimetic approaches, changing specific steps in these processes and, thus, giving rise to

new results. One of the main advantages observed is the improved stability of the catalytic species after immobilization. The unique scaffold protects them from deactivating pathways such as decomposition after being exposed to organic solvent or water. Furthermore, the provided confined environment and the introduced second-sphere interactions can induce substrate selectivity and binding affinity. Significant advances have been achieved in each aspect reviewed, auguring well for the future application of using POPs as a design platform for biomimetic approaches in solving various challenges encountered in catalysis and adsorption. Although we are just beginning to explore the possibilities in this field, it is clear that POPs give great promise in biomimetic applications, which can sometimes be challenging to achieve by traditional materials. Despite these successes, one hallmark of catalysis by nature in a complex tandem catalytic pathway is less explored: the ability of biomimetic materials to catalyze multistep reactions in one-pot sequences. The cell enables several chemically incompatible reactions to be accomplished in tandem. Such combinations are extremely challenging, necessitating various active species being compatible with each other to be operated under the same conditions with matched reaction rates. To achieve this goal, the understanding of the precise operation mode of the biomimetic catalytic system is essential, which, in turn, promotes the development of more sophisticated catalysts. Also, with regard to molecule recognition, almost all of the current design strategies and computational optimizations are based on small molecules; the structural properties of synthesized polymers, however, were evidenced to show a remarkable impact on the binding modes. Consequently, to establish a correct structure–property relationship, more efforts should be made in the systematic investigation of the effect of the adsorbents' textual parameters on the performance. Apart from these fundamental issues, to satisfy the requirements for real-world applications, the following challenges also need to be tackled. As a must for any future industrial-scale processes, the material synthesis should be cost-effective and straightforward, which remains a challenge for most POPs compared with industrially relevant zeolites and active carbon. In addition, most POPs share the drawback of other porous materials when it comes to shaping and processing.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central University (No. 17221012001) and National Science Foundation of China (No. 21720102001) for financial support of this work. Partial support from the DOE Office of Nuclear Energy's Nuclear Energy University Program (Grant No. DE-NE0008281), the U.S. National Science Foundation (Grant No. CBET-1706025 & DMR-1352065), and the Robert A. Welch Foundation (B-0027) is also acknowledged (SM).

Notes and references

- 1 Y. Lu, N. Yeung, N. Sieracki and N. M. Marshall, *Nature*, 2009, **460**, 855–862.
- 2 (a) R. Breslow, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 1972, **1**, 553–580; (b) R. Breslow, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1995, **28**, 146–153.
- 3 R. R. Naik and S. Singamaneni, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 12581–12583.
- 4 (a) J. Meeuwissen and J. N. H. Reek, *Nat. Chem.*, 2010, **2**, 615–621; (b) W. Cullen, M. C. Misuraca, C. A. Hunter, N. H. Williams and M. D. Ward, *Nat. Chem.*, 2016, **8**, 231–236; (c) Y. Tu, F. Peng, A. Adawy, Y. Men, L. K. E. A. Abdelmohsen and D. A. Wilson, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 2023–2078; (d) T. Murase, Y. Nishijima and M. Fujita, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 162–164; (e) D. M. Kaphan, M. D. Levin, R. G. Bergman, K. N. Raymond and F. D. Toste, *Science*, 2015, **350**, 1235–1238.
- 5 (a) J. Liu, L. Chen, H. Cui, J. Zhang, L. Zhang and C.-Y. Su, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 6011–6061; (b) X. Jing, C. He, Y. Yang and C. Duan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 3967–3974; (c) J. Dong, C. Tan, K. Zhang, Y. Liu, P. J. Low, J. Jiang and Y. Cui, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 1554–1564; (d) M. Zhao, S. Ou and C.-D. Wu, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2014, **47**, 1199–1207.
- 6 (a) X.-S. Wang, M. Chrzanowski, D. Yuan, B. S. Sweeting and S. Ma, *Chem. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 1639–1644; (b) G. Wulff, *Chem. Rev.*, 2002, **102**, 1–28; (c) S. Bhunia, N. Dey, A. Pradhan and S. Bhattacharya, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 7495–7498.
- 7 (a) Q. Sun, B. Aguila and S. Ma, *Trends Chem.*, 2019, **1**, 292–303; (b) A. G. Slater and A. I. Cooper, *Science*, 2015, **348**, aaa8075; (c) S. Das, P. Heasman, T. Ben and S. Qiu, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 1515–1563; (d) Y. Xu, S. Jin, H. Xu, A. Nagai and D. Jiang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 8012–8031; (e) A. Alsaiee, B. J. Smith, L. Xiao, Y. Ling, D. E. Helbling and W. R. Dichtel, *Nature*, 2016, **529**, 190–194; (f) E. E. Leonhardt, M. A. B. Meador and K. L. Wooley, *Chem. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 6226–6230; (g) Q. Sun, Z. Dai, X. Meng and F.-S. Xiao, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 6018–6034; (h) Y. Yuan and G. Zhu, *ACS Cent. Sci.*, 2019, **5**, 409–418; (i) Y. Zhang and S. N. Riduan, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 2083–2094; (j) P. Kaur, J. T. Hupp and S. T. Nguyen, *ACS Catal.*, 2011, **1**, 819–835; (k) J. F. Van Humveck, T. M. McDonald, X. Jing, B. M. Wiers, G. Zhu and J. R. Long, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 2432–2440; (l) L. Zou, Y. Sun, S. Che, X. Yang, X. Wang, M. Bosch, Q. Wang, H. Li, M. Smith, S. Yuan, Z. Perry and H.-C. Zhou, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1700229; (m) Q. Sun, K. Hu, K. Leng, X. Yi, B. Aguila, Y. Sun, A. Zheng, X. Meng, S. Ma and F.-S. Xiao, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, **6**, 18712–18919; (n) Q. Sun, B. Aguila, Y. Song and S. Ma, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2020, **53**, 812–821; (o) Z. Xiang, Q. Dai, J.-F. Chen and L. Dai, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 6253–6261; (p) K. Wang, L.-M. Yang, X. Wang, L. Guo, G. Cheng, C. Zhang, S. Jin, B. Tian and A. Cooper, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 14149–14153; (q) Q. Sun, Z. Dai, X. Meng and F.-S. Xiao, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 5720–5726; (r) Q. Sun, Z. Dai, X. Meng, L. Wang and F.-S. Xiao, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 4556–4567; (s) Z. Wang, S. Zhang, Y. Chen, Z. Zhang and S. Ma, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2020, **49**, 708–735.
- 8 (a) A. P. Côté, A. I. Benin, N. W. Ockwig, M. O'Keeffe, A. J. Matzger and O. M. Yaghi, *Science*, 2005, **310**, 1166–1170; (b) T. Ma, E. A. Kapustin, S. X. Yin, L. Liang, Z. Zhou, J. Niu, L.-H. Li, Y. Wang, J. Su, J. Li, X. Wang, W. D. Wang, W. Wang, J. Sun and O. M. Yaghi, *Science*, 2018, **361**, 48–52; (c) K. Geng, T. He, R. Liu, S. Dalapati, K. T. Tan, Z. Li, S. Tao, Y. Gong, Q. Jiang and D. Jiang, *Chem. Rev.*, 2020, DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.9b00550; (d) M. S. Lohse and T. Bein, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2018, **28**, 1705553; (e) R. P. Bisbey and W. R. Dichtel, *ACS Cent. Sci.*, 2017, **3**, 533–543; (f) S. Kandambeth, K. Dey and R. Banerjee, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 1807–1822; (g) Y. Jin, Y. Hu and W. Zhang, *Nat. Rev. Chem.*, 2017, **1**, 0056; (h) D. Rodríguez-San-Miguel and F. Zamora, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2019, **48**, 4375–4386; (i) C. Qian, Q.-Y. Qi, G.-F. Jiang, F.-Z. Cui, Y. Tian and X. Zhao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 6736–6743; (j) Y. Song, Q. Sun, B. Aguila and S. Ma, *Adv. Sci.*, 2019, **6**, 1801410; (k) S. Wang, Q. Sun, W. Chen, Y. Tang, B. Aguila, Y. Pan, A. Zheng, Z. Yang, L. Wojtas, S. Ma and F.-S. Xiao, *Matter*, 2020, **2**, 416–427.
- 9 (a) S. J. Benkovic and S. Hammes-Schiffer, *Science*, 2003, **301**, 1196–1202; (b) R. Fasan, *Nat. Chem.*, 2017, **9**, 609–611; (c) W. Kroutil and M. Rueping, *ACS Catal.*, 2014, **4**, 2086–2087.
- 10 (a) A. Hoegl, M. B. Nodwell, V. C. Kirsch, N. C. Bach, M. Pfanzelt, M. Stahl, S. Schneider and S. A. Sieber, *Nat. Chem.*, 2018, **10**,

- 1234–1245; (b) K. Tanifuji, N. Sickerman, C. Chung, T. Nagasawa, K. Miyazaki, Y. Ohki, K. Tatsumi, Y. Hu and M. W. Ribbe, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 15633–15636; (c) Q. Sun, B. Aguila and S. Ma, *Chem*, 2018, **4**, 2736–2738.
- 11 (a) G. Zamborlini, M. Jugovac, A. Cossaro, A. Verdini, L. Floreano, D. Lüftner, P. Puschnig, V. Feyer and C. M. Schneider, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 13423–13426; (b) R. A. Baglia, J. P. T. Zaragoza and D. P. Goldberg, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 13320–13352.
- 12 (a) P. Deria, J. Yu, R. P. Balaraman, J. Mashni and S. N. White, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 13031–13034; (b) P. T. Smith, Y. Kim, B. P. Benke, K. Kim and C. J. Chang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 4902–4907; (c) Z. Zhang, Y. Zhu, X. Chen, H. Zhang and J. Wang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1806626; (d) Y. Sun, L. Sun, D. Feng and H.-C. Zhou, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 6471–6475; (e) W. Zhang, J. J. Dynes, Y. Hu, P. Jiang and S. Ma, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 1913.
- 13 (a) K. Huang, J.-Y. Zhang, F. Liu and S. Dai, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 9079–9102; (b) Q. Liu, L. Wu, R. Jackstell and M. Beller, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, **6**, 5933; (c) G. Chen, G. I. N. Waterhouse, R. Shi, J. Zhao, Z. Li, L.-Z. Wu, C.-H. Tung and T. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 17528–17551; (d) J. Hu, J. Ma, Q. Zhu, Z. Zhang, C. Wu and B. Han, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 5399–5403; (e) W.-Y. Gao, Y. Chen, Y. Niu, K. Williams, L. Cash, P. J. Perez, L. Wojtas, J. Cai, Y.-S. Chen and S. Ma, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 2615–2619; (f) H. Li, C. Li, J. Chen, L. Liu and Q. Yang, *Chem. – Asian J.*, 2017, **12**, 1095–1103; (g) Y. Song, Q. Sun, B. Aguila and S. Ma, *Catal. Today*, 2020, DOI: 10.1016/j.cattod.2020.01.031; (h) K. Hu, Y. Tang, J. Cui, Q. Gong, C. Hu, S. Wang, K. Dong, X. Meng, Q. Sun and F.-S. Xiao, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 9180–9183; (i) Z. Dai, Q. Sun, X. Liu, L. Guo, J. Li, S. Pan, C. Bian, L. Wang, X. Hu, X. Meng, L. Zhao, F. Deng and F.-S. Xiao, *ChemSusChem*, 2017, **10**, 1186–1692; (j) Q. Sun, Y. Jin, B. Aguila, X. Meng, S. Ma and F.-S. Xiao, *ChemSusChem*, 2017, **10**, 1160–1165.
- 14 Z. Dai, Q. Sun, X. Liu, C. Bian, Q. Wu, S. Pan, L. Wang, X. Meng, F. Deng and F.-S. Xiao, *J. Catal.*, 2016, **338**, 202–209.
- 15 (a) J. P. Richard, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 3320–3331; (b) M. J. Osborn, J. Schnell, S. J. Benkovic, H. J. Dyson and P. E. Wright, *Biochemistry*, 2001, **40**, 9846–9859.
- 16 Q. Sun, B. Aguila, J. Perman, L. Earl, C. Abney, Y. Cheng, H. Wei, N. Nguyen, L. Wojtas and S. Ma, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 2786–2793.
- 17 B. Aguila, Q. Sun, X. Wang, E. O'Rourke, A. M. Al-Enizi, A. Nafady and S. Ma, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 10107–10111.
- 18 (a) K. M. Koeller and C. H. Wong, *Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **100**, 4465–4493; (b) T. L. Lohr and T. J. Marks, *Nat. Chem.*, 2015, **7**, 477–482; (c) Y.-B. Huang, J. Liang, X.-S. Wang and R. Cao, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 126–157; (d) Á. G. Baribar, D. Reichert, C. Mügge, S. Seger, H. Gröger and R. Kourist, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 14823–14827; (e) Y.-Z. Chen, Y.-X. Zhou, H. Wang, J. Lu, T. Uchida, Q. Xu, S.-H. Yu and H.-L. Jiang, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 2062–2069.
- 19 Q. Sun, B. Aguila and S. Ma, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2017, **1**, 1310–1316.
- 20 M. Raynal, P. Ballester, A. Vidal-Ferran and P. W. N. M. van Leeuwen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 1734–1787.
- 21 K. Dong, Q. Sun, Y. Tang, C. Shan, B. Aguila, S. Wang, X. Meng, S. Ma and F.-S. Xiao, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 3059.
- 22 (a) Q. Sun, M. Jiang, Z. Shen, Y. Jin, S. Pan, L. Wang, X. Meng, W. Chen, Y. Ding, J. Li and F.-S. Xiao, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 11844–11847; (b) Q. Sun, Z. Dai, X. Meng and F.-S. Xiao, *Catal. Today*, 2017, **298**, 40–45; (c) Q. Sun, Z. Dai, X. Liu, N. Sheng, F. Deng, X. Meng and F.-S. Xiao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 5204–5209.
- 23 (a) D. S. Hartsough and K. M. Merz, Jr., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1993, **115**, 6529–6537; (b) S. Guha, K. Sahu, D. Roy, S. K. Mondal, S. Roy and K. Bhattacharyya, *Biochemistry*, 2005, **44**, 8940–8947; (c) Q. Sun, Y. Jin, L. Zhu, L. Wang, X. Meng and F.-S. Xiao, *Nano Today*, 2013, **8**, 342–350.
- 24 (a) C. Reichardt and T. Welton, *Solvents and solvent effects in organic chemistry*, VCH Publishers, Weinheim, 2nd edn, 1988; (b) E. S. Amis, *Solvent effects on the reaction rates and mechanisms*, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- 25 Q. Sun, S. Wang, B. Aguila, X. Meng, S. Ma and F.-S. Xiao, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 3236.
- 26 Q. Sun, Y. Tang, B. Aguila, S. Wang, F.-S. Xiao, P. K. Thallapally, A. M. Al-Enizi, A. Nafady and S. Ma, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 8670–8675.
- 27 (a) Q. Sun, H. He, W.-Y. Gao, B. Aguila, L. Wojtas, Z. Dai, J. Li, Y.-S. Chen, F.-S. Xiao and S. Ma, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, **7**, 13300; (b) Q. Sun, B. Aguila, J. Perman, T. Butts, F.-S. Xiao and S. Ma, *Chem*, 2018, **4**, 1726–1739.
- 28 (a) Q. Sun, B. Aguila, G. Verma, X. Liu, Z. Dai, F. Deng, X. Meng, F.-S. Xiao and S. Ma, *Chem*, 2016, **1**, 628–639; (b) Y. Tang, K. Dong, S. Wang, Q. Sun, X. Meng and F.-S. Xiao, *Mol. Catal.*, 2019, **474**, 110408.
- 29 (a) R. Dicosimo, J. McAuliffe, A. J. Poulouse and G. Bohlmann, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 6437–6474; (b) U. T. Bornscheuer, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2003, **42**, 3336–3337; (c) R. A. Sheldon, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2007, **349**, 1289–1307; (d) X. Lian, Y. Fang, E. Joseph, Q. Wang, J. Li, S. Banerjee, C. Lollar, X. Wang and H.-C. Zhou, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 3386–3401; (e) M. B. Majewski, A. J. Howarth, P. Li, M. R. Wasielewski, J. T. Hupp and O. K. Farha, *CrystEngComm*, 2017, **19**, 4082–4091; (f) C. Doonan, R. Riccò, K. Liang, D. Bradshaw and P. Falcaro, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2017, **50**, 1423–1432; (g) E. Gkaniatsou, C. Sicard, R. Ricoux, J.-P. Mahy, N. Steunou and C. Serre, *Mater. Horiz.*, 2017, **4**, 55–63; (h) F.-K. Shieh, S.-C. Wang, C.-I. Yen, C.-C. Wu, S. Dutta, L.-Y. Chou, J. V. Morabito, P. Hu, M.-H. Hsu, K. C.-W. Wu and C.-K. Tsung, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 4276–4279; (i) H. An, M. Li, J. Gao, Z. Zhang, S. Ma and Y. Chen, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **384**, 90–106.
- 30 Q. Sun, C.-W. Fu, B. Aguila, J. Perman, H.-Y. Huang and S. Ma, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 984–992.
- 31 Q. Sun, Y. Pan, X. Wang, H. Li, J. Farmakes, B. Aguila, Z. Yang and S. Ma, *Chem*, 2019, **5**, 3184–3195.
- 32 Q. Sun, B. Aguila, P. C. Lan and S. Ma, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1900008.
- 33 (a) Y. Lu, *Nat. Chem.*, 2014, **6**, 175–177; (b) L. Zhou, M. Bosscher, C. Zhang, S. Öcubukçu, L. Zhang, W. Zhang, C. J. Li, J. Liu, M. P. Jensen, L. Lai and C. He, *Nat. Chem.*, 2014, **6**, 236–241.
- 34 (a) C. W. Abney, R. T. Mayes, T. Saito and S. Dai, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 13935–14013; (b) M. J. Manos and M. G. Kanatzidis, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 4804–4824; (c) B. Li, Q. Sun, Y. Zhang, C. Abney, B. Aguila, W. Lin and S. Ma, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 12511–12517; (d) B. Aguila, Q. Sun, H. Cassidy, C. Abney, B. Li and S. Ma, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, **11**, 30919–30926.
- 35 Q. Sun, B. Aguila, J. Perman, A. S. Ivanov, V. S. Bryantsev, L. D. Earl, C. W. Abney, L. Wojtas and S. Ma, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 1644.
- 36 B. Aguila, Q. Sun, H. C. Cassidy, C. Shan, Z. Liang, A. M. Al-Enizi, A. Nafady, J. T. Wright, R. W. Meulenberg and S. Ma, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, DOI: 10.1002/ange.202006596.
- 37 Q. Sun, L. Zhu, B. Aguila, P. K. Thallapally, C. Xu, J. Chen, S. Wang, D. Rogers and S. Ma, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 1646.
- 38 Q. Sun, B. Aguila, L. D. Earl, C. W. Abney, L. Wojtas, P. K. Thallapally and S. Ma, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1705479.
- 39 (a) Q. Sun, B. Aguila, J. Perman, L. Earl, C. Abney, Y. Cheng, H. Wei, N. Nguyen, L. Wojtas and S. Ma, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 2786–2793; (b) B. Aguila, Q. Sun, J. A. Perman, L. D. Earl, C. W. Abney, R. Elzein, R. Schlaf and S. Ma, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1700665.