## Introduction of cavities up to 4 nm into a hierarchically-assembled metal–organic framework using an angular, tetratopic ligand $\dagger$

Wenjuan Zhuang,<sup>*a*</sup> Shengqian Ma,<sup>b</sup> Xi-Sen Wang,<sup>*a*</sup> Daqiang Yuan,<sup>*a*</sup> Jian-Rong Li,<sup>*a*</sup> Dan Zhao<sup>a</sup> and Hong-Cai Zhou<sup>\*a</sup>

Received 5th April 2010, Accepted 28th May 2010 First published as an Advance Article on the web 23rd June 2010 DOI: 10.1039/c0cc00779j

Cavities up to 4 nm have been introduced into a hierarchicallyassembled metal–organic framework by adopting an angular, semi-flexible tetratopic ligand. The resulting MOF possesses permanent porosity and exhibits stepwise sorption isotherms for  $O_2$  and  $N_2$  gases.

Porous metal–organic frameworks  $(MOFs)^1$  have experienced rapid development in the last decade and have become an exciting alternative to traditional porous materials such as inorganic zeolites<sup>2</sup> and activated carbon materials.<sup>3</sup> MOFs excel in surface  $area<sup>4</sup>$  and gas adsorption capacity.<sup>5</sup> Recent studies $6,7$  have revealed that porous MOFs containing cages (or cavities if the interior is accessible by water molecules) often demonstrate superior gas adsorption capacity. We have been interested particularly in the construction of MOFs containing nanoscopic cages for hydrogen and methane storage applications.<sup>6</sup> Our focus lies in the design of rigid, multi-topic carboxylate ligands and the assembly of MOFs containing nanoscopic cages or cavities using such ligands.<sup>6</sup>

Recent attempts to enlarge the sizes of the cavities via ligand extension alone often led to framework collapse upon guest removal.<sup>5e,6c,8</sup> Most recently, we communicated the stabilization of MOFs of high surface area through the introduction of mesocavities with microwindows.<sup>6f</sup> To further expand the synthetic toolbox for the introduction of even larger cavities into porous MOFs, herein we propose a new strategy of using an angular, tetratopic ligand in addition to ligand extension. It is hoped that the angular ligands can wrap around the cavities and sustain the integrity of the porous framework. In this contribution, we report an angular, tetratopic ligand, diphenylmethane-3,3',5,5'-tetrakis(3,5-bisbenzoate) (PMTB; Fig. 1a, with the synthesis details given in  $ESI<sup>+</sup>$ ), and a stable, hierarchically-assembled, porous MOF having cavities up to 4 nm constructed with this ligand. PMTB contains a methylene group, which makes the ligand angular and ready to support the cavities.<sup>6e,9</sup> Furthermore, the angular ligand adds an extra dimension to the planar, tetratopic ligands and will lead to MOFs with hierachical complexity.<sup>10</sup>

Fax: +1-979-845 1595; Tel: +1-979-845 4034

A solvothermal reaction between  $H_4$ PMTB and Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.  $2.5H<sub>2</sub>O$  in dimethylacetamide (DMA) afforded blue cubic crystals of PCN-21z (PCN represents porous coordination network) with an empirical formula of  $Cu_2(H_2O)_2(PMTB)$  xS (S represents non-coordinated solvent molecules). Although large crystals were harvested, attempts to collect diffraction data on Bruker APEXII diffractometers were unsuccessful.

Single-crystal X-ray crystallographic study, $\S$  conducted using synchrotron radiation at the Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory (ANL), revealed that PCN-21 crystallizes in the space group  $Im-3m$  with the unit cell dimension of  $a \approx 67$  Å. In the structure of PCN-21, the **PMTB** ligands connect with  $Cu_2(COO)_4(H_2O)$ <sub>2</sub> secondary building units (SBUs, Fig. 1b) to form a complicated threedimensional framework containing giant cavities.



Fig. 1 (a) The PMTB ligand as one of the secondary building units (SBUs); (b) The  $Cu_2(COO)_4(H_2O)_2$  paddlewheel SBU (C, black; O, red; Cu, turquoise); (c) A tertiary building unit (TBU, green) formed by 3 PMTB SBUs and 9 dicopper paddlewheel SBUs; (d) A quaternary building unit (QBU, green) formed by 2 TBUs; (e) Structure of a QBU; (f) Eight QBUs interlinking with each other through edge-sharing in a unit cell, forming a quinary building unit (IBU) with a giant cavity (blue) inside; (g) The giant cavity (blue, truncated octahedron) inside an IBU; (h) The crystal structure and schematic representation of the truncated octahedron, the yellow sphere represents the largest sphere that can be fit inside the cavity; (i) The connectivity of the giant cavities.

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, USA. E-mail: zhou@mail.chem.tamu.edu;

 $b$  Chemical Sciences and Engineering Division, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 S. Cass Avenue, Argonne, IL 60439, USA

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthesis and general characterizations (PXRD, IR and TGA), crystallographic data and additional structure figures. CCDC 735398. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c0cc00779j

For the convenience of discussion, five levels (primary, secondary, tertiary, quaternary, and quinary) of building units will be introduced to describe the hierarchically assembled PCN-21. The primary building units are always atoms. The secondary building units are the PMTB ligands and the dicopper paddlewheel clusters. Building units of higher levels of complexity were also classified as supramolecular building blocks (SBBs) by Zaworotko and coworkers.<sup>1f,11</sup> Every three PMTBs are connected with each other through nine dicopper paddlewheel SBUs to form a pseudo-hexagonal tertiary building unit (TBU, Fig. 1c), and two such TBUs are linked by PMTB bridges to form a pseudo-hexagonal prism with  $D_{3d}$  symmetry, which can be designated as a quaternary building unit (QBU, Fig. 1d, e and  $S1\dagger$ ). Eight such QBUs occupy the eight vertices of a cube and interlink with each other through edge-sharing to give a quinary building unit (IBU) (Fig. 1f) enclosing a giant cavity at the center of the IBU. The cavity can be viewed as a truncated octahedron (Fig. 1g) with an internal diameter of 40 Å (excluding van der Waals radii) (Fig. 1h). There are six square windows (Fig. 2a) and eight trigonal windows (Fig. 2b) with sizes of 17.2 (atom to atom distance along an edge unless otherwise designated) and  $15.9 \text{ Å}$  respectively on the truncated octahedron cavity. Each IBU connects with eight adjacent ones through face sharing to form a three-dimensional network (Fig. 1i).

Meanwhile, cavities with internal diameters of  $24 \text{ Å}$  (purple sphere in Fig. S2,<sup>†</sup> excluding van der Waals radii) between the truncated octahedral cavities in the framework can also be observed (Fig. 3 and  $S3\dagger$ ). In the framework, there exist square and hexagonal open channels viewed down [1 0 0] and [1 1 1], respectively (Fig.  $S4<sup>+</sup>$ ). The cavities are filled with crystallographically disordered solvent molecules. Calculated using PLATON,<sup>12</sup> PCN-21 has a solvent accessible volume of 79.3% in the dehydrated structure (after removal of the coordinated aqua ligands).

The remarkably complicated porous structure of PCN-21 with unusually large cavities (Fig. 3) prompted us to examine its gas uptake behavior. A freshly prepared sample of PCN-21 was activated according to a literature procedure.<sup>6</sup> In previously reported work, MOFs with both micro- and mesopores often exhibit reversible pseudo-type-I  $N_2$  isotherms with small steps before the plateau appears.  $4b, 6f, 7a, f, 11b, 13$  As shown in Fig. 4a, PCN-21 exhibits a similar reversible, stepwise nitrogen-sorption isotherm at 77 K. One of the likely reasons is the occurrence of multilayer sorption in the large



Fig. 2 The square windows and trigonal windows around the truncated octahedron cavity in PCN-21: (a) viewed along c-axis and (b) viewed along the [1 1 1] direction.



Fig. 3 Two types of cavities in PCN-21: (a) viewed down [0 0 1]; (b) viewed down [1 1 1].

cavities after the formation of a single layer at low pressure. Another possible explanation is the co-existence of pores of different sizes and distinct properties. Derived from the  $N_2$ adsorption data, PCN-21 has a BET surface area of 2718 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-</sup> and a total pore volume of 1.54 cm<sup>3</sup>  $g^{-1}$ . At saturation, the  $N_2$  adsorption capacity of PCN-21 is as high as 1000 cm<sup>3</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> with an impressive Langmuir surface area of 4485 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-</sup> , comparable to those of other high surface area MOFs.<sup>4c</sup> Low-pressure  $H_2$  sorption isotherms at 77 K were collected as well to evaluate its  $H_2$  adsorption performance. At 77 K and 760 Torr (Fig. 4b), PCN-21 can adsorb 1.6 wt%  $H_2$  gas, consistent with previously reported results.<sup>5c</sup> We have also tried to activate a sample using the critical point drying method,<sup>4d</sup> but the resulting  $N_2$  sorption capacity is not as high as that of the sample activated using the common activation procedure (see Fig.  $S5$  in ESI<sup>†</sup>).

Furthermore, an  $O_2$  sorption study of PCN-21 was also carried out at 77 K. Similar to that of  $N_2$  at 77 K, the O<sub>2</sub> adsorption of PCN-21 also exhibits a stepwise isotherm (Fig. 5), which can be attributed to the same reasons discussed above. Compared with  $N_2$  isotherms, a clear hysteresis at  $p/p<sub>o</sub> \approx 0.1$  and  $p/p<sub>o</sub> \approx 0.3$  stands out in O<sub>2</sub> isotherms, which can be tentatively ascribed to different affinity of these two gases to the MOF. The gas sorption studies also indicate that guest-free PCN-21 can at least partially sustain its framework integrity, which was further confirmed by X-ray powder diffraction studies (Fig.  $S6\dagger$ ).



Fig. 4 Gas sorption isotherms at 77 K: (a)  $N_2$  gas; (b)  $H_2$  gas (solid symbols, adsorption; open symbols, desorption).



Fig. 5 O<sub>2</sub> gas sorption isotherms at 77 K ( $P_0 = 145$  torr).

In summary, a porous MOF, with cavities up to 4 nm and surface area as high as 4485 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>, was constructed using an angular, tetratopic ligand. It is evident that the addition to the geometric complexity of a bridging ligand leads to a hierarchically assembled MOF. It has also been discovered that the bent feature of an angular ligand helps to wrap around a cavity and stabilizes the resulting framework. PCN-21 exhibits a rare stepwise, reversible  $N_2$  sorption isotherm and excellent gas adsorption capacity. Further examination of its high-pressure gas adsorption properties is currently underway.

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (DE-FC36-07GO17033) and the U.S. National Science Foundation (CHE-0449634). S. Ma acknowledges the Director's Postdoctoral Fellowship from Argonne National Laboratory. The microcrystal diffraction of PCN-21 was carried out at the Advanced Photon Source on beamline 15ID-B of ChemMatCARS Sector 15, which is principally supported by the National Science Foundation/Department of Energy under grant number CHE-0535644. Use of the Advanced Photon Source was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. We thank Dr Yu-Sheng Chen for assistance in single crystal X-ray experiments, Dr Theodore F. Baumann for assistance in supercritical  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ activation, and Dr Qian-Rong Fang for discussion.

## Notes and references

 $\ddagger$  Preparation of PCN-21, Cu<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>(PMTB) xS. A mixture of  $H_4$ PMTB (0.005 g, 7.7  $\times$  10<sup>-6</sup> mol), Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.2.5H<sub>2</sub>O (0.015 g,  $6.5 \times 10^{-5}$  mol), and 4 drops of HBF<sub>4</sub> (48% w/w aq. solution) in 1.5 mL of dimethylacetamide (DMA) was sealed in a Pyrex tube under vacuum and heated to 85  $\degree$ C, kept at that temperature for 72 h, and cooled to room temperature. The resulting blue cubic crystals were washed with DMA and collected, the yield: 60% based on H4PMTB. § X-ray crystal data for PCN-21:  $C_{41}H_{28}Cu_2O_{10}$ ,  $Mr =$  807.71, Cubic, Space group: *Im-3m*,  $a = 67.283(10)$  Å,  $\tilde{V} = 304590(78)$  Å<sup>3</sup>, synchrotron radiation,  $\lambda = 0.41328$  Å,  $Z = 96$ ,  $T = 173$  K,  $D_c = 0.423$  g cm<sup>-</sup> ,  $R_1$  ( $I > 2\sigma(I) = 0.0487$ ,  $wR_2 = 0.1244$ , GOF = 1.006. CCDC 735398.

1 (a) O. M. Yaghi, M. O'Keeffe, N. W. Ockwig, H. K. Chae, M. Eddaoudi and J. Kim, Nature, 2003, 423, 705; (b) G. Férey, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2008, 37, 191; (c) S. Kitagawa, R. Kitaura and S.-i. Noro, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 2334; (d) M. P. Suh, Y. E. Cheon and E. Y. Lee, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2008, 252, 1007; (e) L. J. Murray, M. Dinca and J. R. Long, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2009,

38, 1294; (f) J. J. Perry IV, J. A. Perman and M. J. Zaworotko, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2009, 38, 1400; (g) J.-R. Li, R. J. Kuppler and H.-C. Zhou, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2009, 38, 1477.

- 2 (a) N. Texier-Mandoki, J. Dentzer, T. Piquero, S. Saadallah, P. David and C. Vix-Guterl, *Carbon*, 2004, 42, 2744; (b) Y. Gogotsi, R. K. Dash, G. Yushin, T. Yildirim, G. Laudisio and J. E. Fischer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 16006.
- 3 (a) L. Zhou, Y. Zhou and Y. Sun, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2004, 29, 475; (b) J. M. Blackman, J. W. Patrick and C. E. Snape, Carbon, 2006, 44, 918.
- 4 (a) K. Koh, A. G. Wong-Foy and A. J. Matzger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 4184; (b) G. Férey, C. Mellot-Draznieks, C. Serre, F. Millange, J. Dutour, S. Surble and I. Margiolaki, Science, 2005, 309, 2040; (c) H. K. Chae, D. Y. Siberio-Perez, J. Kim, Y. Go, M. Eddaoudi, A. J. Matzger, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, Nature, 2004, **427**, 523; (d) A. P. Nelson, O. K. Farha, K. L. Mulfort and J. T. Hupp, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 458.
- 5 (a) A. G. Wong-Foy, A. J. Matzger and O. M. Yaghi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 3494; (b) M. Dincă, A. Dailly, Y. Liu, C. M. Brown, D. A. Neumann and J. R. Long, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 16876; (c) S.-i. Noro, S. Kitagawa, M. Kondo and K. Seki, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2000, 39, 2081; (d) H. Wang, J. Getzschmann, I. Senkovska and S. Kaskel, Microporous Mesoporous Mater., 2008, 116, 653; (e) X. Lin, I. Telepeni, A. J. Blake, A. Dailly, C. M. Brown, J. M. Simmons, M. Zoppi, G. S. Walker, K. M. Thomas, T. J. Mays, P. Hubberstey, N. R. Champness and M. Schröder, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 2159; (f) K. Li, D. H. Olson, J. Y. Lee, W. Bi, K. Wu, T. Yuen and Q. Xu, and J. Li, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2008, 18, 2205.
- 6 (a) S. Ma and H.-C. Zhou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 11734;  $(b)$  D. Sun, S. Ma, Y. Ke, D. J. Collins and H.-C. Zhou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 3896; (c) S. Ma, D. Sun, M. Ambrogio, J. A. Fillinger, S. Parkin and H.-C. Zhou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 1858; (d) S. Ma, D. Sun, J. M. Simmons, C. D. Collier, D. Yuan and H.-C. Zhou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 1012; (e) X.-S. Wang, S. Ma, P. M. Forster, D. Yuan, J. Eckert, J. J. López, B. J. Murphy, J. B. Parise and H.-C. Zhou, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 7263; (f) D. Zhao, D. Yuan, D. Sun and H.-C. Zhou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 9186.
- 7 (a) Y. Yan, X. Lin, S. Yang, A. J. Blake, A. Dailly, N. R. Champness, P. Hubberstey and M. Schröder, Chem. Commun., 2009, 1025; (b) Y.-B. Zhang, W.-X. Zhang, F.-Y. Feng, J.-P. Zhang and X.-M. Chen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 5287; (c) J. Zhang, T. Wu, S. Chen, P. Feng and X. Bu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 3486; (d) B. Chen, N. W. Ockwig, A. R. Millward, D. S. Contreras and O. M. Yaghi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 4745; (e) T. Wu, J. Zhang, C. Zhou, L. Wang, X. Bu and P. Feng, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 6111; (f) H. Chun, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 800.
- 8 (a) L. Ma, J. Y. Lee, J. Li and W. Lin, Inorg. Chem., 2008, 47, 3599; (b) S. Wu, L. Ma, L.-S. Long, L.-S. Zheng and W. Lin, Inorg. Chem., 2009, 48, 2436.
- 9 (a) L. Ma and W. Lin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 13834; (b) L. Ma and W. Lin, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 3637.
- 10 A. C. Sudik, A. P. Côté, A. G. Wong-Foy, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 2528.
- 11 (a) A. J. Cairns, J. A. Perman, L. Wojtas, V. C. Kravtsov, M. H. Alkordi, M. Eddaoudi and M. J. Zaworotko, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 1560; (b) F. Nouar, J. F. Eubank, T. Bousquet, L. Wojtas, M. J. Zaworotko and M. Eddaoudi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 1833.
- 12 A. L. Spek, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2003, 36, 7.
- 13 (a) A. Sonnauer, F. Hoffmann, M. Fröba, L. Kienle, V. Duppel, M. Thommes, C. Serre, G. Férey and N. Stock, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 3791; (b) Y. K. Park, S. B. Choi, H. Kim, K. Kim, B.-H. Won, K. Choi, J.-S. Choi, W.-S. Ahn, N. Won, S. Kim, D. H. Jung, S.-H. Choi, G.-H. Kim, S.-S. Cha, Y. H. Jhon, J. K. Yang and J. Kim, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 8230; (c) Q.-R. Fang, G.-S. Zhu, Z. Jin, Y.-Y. Ji, J.-W. Ye, M. Xue, H. Yang, Y. Wang and S.-L. Qiu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 6638; (d) K. Koh, A. G. Wong-Foy and A. J. Matzger, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 677.