CrystEngComm

www.rsc.org/crystengcomm

Volume 14 | Number 19 | 7 October 2012 | Pages 6095-6314



RSCPublishing

COVER ARTICLE Ma *et al.* Porous metal–organic framework based on a macrocyclic tetracarboxylate ligand exhibiting selective CO₂ uptake Cite this: CrystEngComm, 2012, 14, 6115-6117

www.rsc.org/crystengcomm

COMMUNICATION

Porous metal-organic framework based on a macrocyclic tetracarboxylate ligand exhibiting selective CO₂ uptake[†]

Wen-Yang Gao," Youhong Niu," Yao Chen," Lukasz Wojtas," Jianfeng Cai," Yu-Sheng Chen^b and Shengqian Ma^{*a}

Received 2nd April 2012, Accepted 25th April 2012 DOI: 10.1039/c2ce25484k

A two-fold interpenetrating microporous metal–organic framework, MMCF-1, has been constructed *via* the self-assembly of a custom-designed macrocyclic tetracarboxylate ligand and Cd(Π), and it exhibits interesting selective uptake of CO₂ over N₂.

By virtue of high porosity, tunable pore size and large internal surface area,¹ metal-organic frameworks (MOFs)² have been highlighted as promising candidates with potential for applications in gas storage,³ separation,⁴ heterocatalysis,⁵ sensors⁶ and other areas.⁷ Over the past decade considerable attention has been attracted to constructing microporous MOFs via self-assembly of multifunctional organic ligands and metal ions or clusters.⁸ Carboxylate-based linkers have been predominantly employed for the construction of MOFs and many of them have been developed into prototypal platforms, such as HKUST-1,9 MOF- $5^{10}_{,10}$ MOF-74,¹¹ MOF-505,¹² and *rht*-MOF-1¹³ etc. Under the concept of "reticular synthesis",¹⁴ the properties of prototypal MOF platforms can be targeted via functionalizing the organic linkers. Meanwhile, the versatile coordination feature of a carboxylate donor group can afford diverse structures, as particularly observed for MOFs based upon flexible ligands.¹⁵

Azamacrocycle-based ligands represent a type of flexible ligands, and their intriguing properties afford them wide applications for activation of small molecules,¹⁶ ion recognition and capture¹⁷ in supramolecular chemistry. This could also provide an opportunity to establish a new type of functional MOFs accompanied with the ascendency of macrocycles in host–guest chemistry, although flexible macrocycle ligands have rarely been explored for MOFs.¹⁸

To combine the coordination diversity of a carboxylate group and the merits of a flexible macrocycle, in this contribution, we designed an azamacrocyclic tetracarboxylate ligand 1,4,7,10tetrazacyclododecane-N,N',N'',N'''-tetra-*p*-methylbenzoic acid (tactmb) (Fig. 1a). The self-assembly of the tactmb ligand with Cd(II) under solvothermal conditions gave rise to a microporous MOF, termed MMCF-1 (MMCF denotes Metal-Macrocyclic Framework) which possesses a three dimensional (3D) structure with two-fold interpenetration, as well as exhibiting interesting selective uptake of CO_2 over N_2 .

The colorless block crystals of MMCF-1 were obtained by reacting the tactmb ligand with Cd(NO₃)₂·4H₂O in *N*,*N*dimethylformamide (DMF) for 48 h. Single-crystal X-ray crystallographic studies conducted using synchrotron radiation at the Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory, revealed that MMCF-1 crystallizes in the space group $P2_1/c.$ ⁺The asymmetric unit of MMCF-1 contains two Cd(II) ions, one tactmb ligand and one coordinated water molecule. The sevencoordinated Cd atom (Cd1) connects with six oxygen atoms of three carboxylate groups from three different tactmb ligands and



Fig. 1 (a) The tactmb ligand; (b) the coordination unit of MMCF-1; (c) the two-fold interpenetrating structure viewed from the b direction; (d) the two-fold interpenetrating structure viewed from the c direction.

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of South Florida, 4202 East Flower Avenue, Tampa, Florida, 33620, United States. E-mail: sqma@usf.edu; Fax: +1-813-974 3203; Tel: +1-813-974 5217 ^bChemMatCARS, Center for Advanced Radiation Sources, The University of Chicago, 9700 S. Cass Avenue, Argonne, IL, 60439, USA † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures for the synthesis of the ligand and MMCF-1, TGA plots, heat of adsorption of CO₂, IAST calculation, and crystal data of MMCF-1. CCDC reference number 874284. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c2ce25484k

[‡] X-ray crystal data for MMCF-1: C₄₀H₄₀N₄O₉Cd₂, *fw* = 945.56, monoclinic, *P*₂₁/*c*, *a* = 17.8372(19) Å, *b* = 21.164(2) Å, *c* = 21.721(2) Å, *V* = 8199.7(15) Å, *Z* = 4, *T* = 100 K, $\rho_c = 0.766 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, *R*₁ (*I* > 2 σ (*I*)) = 0.0725, w*R*₂ (all data) = 0.2019.

one oxygen atom from the coordinated water. The other Cd atom (Cd2) is located in the center of the macrocycle of the tactmb ligand, and it is coordinated with four nitrogen atoms of the macrocycle and two oxygen atoms of one carboxylate group from another tactmb ligand. Each tactmb macrocycle ligand links with three seven-coordinated Cd atoms (Cd1) and one six-coordinated Cd atom (Cd2) that is located in the macrocycle center of another tactmb ligand through four carboxylate groups to form an overall 3D structure of MMCF-1.

Topologically, MMCF-1 can be described as a two-fold interpenetrating (6,3) network, in which two tactmb ligands that are bridged together by two methylbenzoate moieties through Cd2 within the macrocycle can be regarded as an overall 6-connected node while Cd1 itself serves as the 3-connected node to link with three independent carboxylate groups (Fig. 1b). MMCF-1 is porous and has a solvent accessible volume of 63% calculated using PLATON.¹⁹ MMCF-1 possesses two types of rectangular channels with sizes of 4.8 Å × 6.8 Å and 7.5 Å × 8.2 Å (atom to atom distance) along the crystallographic *b* and *c* axis respectively (Fig. 1c, d).

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) (Fig. S1, ESI[†]) of the fresh MMCF-1 sample reveals a weight loss of ~38% from 30 to ~200 °C corresponding to the loss of guest DMF solvent molecules trapped in the channels and coordinated water molecules. The plot is followed by a steady plateau from 200 to 320 °C before complete decomposition of the framework. The thermal stability was confirmed by the TGA studies on the solvent-free MMCF-1 sample (Fig. S1, ESI[†]), highlighting the robustness of its framework.

To evaluate the permanent porosity of MMCF-1, gas adsorption studies were performed on the activated sample. As shown in Fig. 2(a), the CO₂ adsorption isotherm collected at 195 K reveals that MMCF-1 exhibits an uptake capacity of 140 cm³ g⁻¹ at 1 atmosphere pressure with typical type-I adsorption behavior, as expected for microporous materials. Derived from CO₂ adsorption data at 195 K, MMCF-1 possesses a Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area of ~500 m² g⁻¹ ($P/P_0 = 0.01-0.15$), corresponding to a Langmuir surface area of ~600 m² g⁻¹ ($P/P_0 = 0.9$). To our knowledge, MMCF-1 represents the first example of a permanently porous MOF based upon a flexible tetraazamacrocycle ligand, while there have been reported several MOF structures constructed from other azamacrocycle ligands.¹⁸

It has been well-documented that grafting primary, secondary, or tertiary amine groups into porous MOFs can significantly increase the CO₂ binding affinity although sometimes at the expense of scarifying the uptake CO2 capacity due to the decreased surface area.²⁰ The azamacrocycle of the tactmb ligand features four tertiary amines and this prompts us to investigate the CO₂ uptake performances of MMCF-1. As shown in Fig. 2(b), MMCF-1 can adsorb substantial amounts of CO₂ with the uptake capacities of 13.1 wt.% at 273 K and 9.0 wt.% at 298 K under 1 atm of pressure. These values are comparable to the best performing ZIF material (ZIF-20, 13.7 wt.% cm³ g⁻¹ at 273 K, 1 atm)²¹ but are moderate compared to some highly porous MOFs due to the much lower surface area of MMCF-1.²² However, it's worth noting that the shape of the CO₂ adsorption isotherms is an indication of relatively strong interactions between the MMCF-1 framework and CO2 gas molecules. We calculated the heats of adsorption (Q_{st}) of CO₂ for MMCF-1 based upon the CO₂



Fig. 2 (a) CO_2 adsorption isotherm of MMCF-1 at 195 K; (b) Gas adsorption isotherms of MMCF-1(black, CO_2 at 273 K; red, CO_2 at 298 K; blue, N_2 at 273 K); (c) heats of adsorption of CO_2 for MMCF-1; (d) IAST-predicted selectivity of the mixture of CO_2 and N_2 for MMCF-1.

adsorption isotherms at 273 K and 298 K using the virial method (Fig. S2, ESI†).²³ As shown in Fig. 2(c), MMCF-1 exhibits a constant $Q_{\rm st}$ of ~26 kJ mol⁻¹ at all loadings, distinguishing it from other MOFs with open metal sites or decorated with primary amine groups, whose $Q_{\rm st}$ usually decreases abruptly to 20–22 kJ mol⁻¹ with the increase of CO₂ loading despite their high initial $Q_{\rm st}$.^{200,24} We tentatively attribute this to the existence of tertiary amines from the azamacrocycles that are incorporated into the framework of MMCF-1.

We also evaluated MMCF-1 for selective adsorption of CO₂ over N₂ which is related to the post-combustion CO₂ capture application. The N2 adsorption isotherm at 273 K indicates that MMCF-1 can adsorb a very small amount of N₂ (0.18 wt.% at 1 bar), meaning a selective capacity of 73 for CO₂/N₂ at 273 K and 1 bar. Given that our attempts to measure the low-pressure N2 adsorption isotherms at 298 K could not generate meaningful data points because of the extremely low N2 uptake capacity,²⁵ we decided to use the adsorption isotherms at 273 K to assess the potential of MMCF-1 for possible post-combustion CO₂ capture application. The Ideal Adsorption Solution Theory (IAST),²⁶ has been proved to be valid to calculate the adsorption selectivity of MOFs,²⁷ was employed to estimate the gas selectivity of MMCF-1 for CO2/N2 (typically composed of 15/85%) in post-combustion flue-gas streams. The selectivity $S_{A/B}$ in a binary mixture of component A and B is defined as $(x_A/y_A)/(x_B/y_B)$, where x_i and y_i are the mole fractions of component i (i = A, B) in the adsorbed and bulk phases respectively. As shown in Fig. 2(d), the adsorption selectivity of MMCF-1 is calculated to be 114 for CO2 over N2 at 273 K and 1 bar. To the best of our knowledge, both the selective uptake capacity observed experimentally and the adsorption selectivity estimated from IAST of MMCF-1 for CO₂ over N₂ are the highest vet reported for MOFs based upon tetraazamacrocyclic ligands, and are also among the highest for porous MOFs without open metal sites. The high selective uptake of CO₂ over N2 for MMCF-1 could be attributed to the large quadrupole moment of CO₂ compared to N₂ as well as the tertiary amines

azamacrocycles, which could afford higher affinities for CO_2 compared to N_2 as illustrated in recent studies.²⁸

In summary, a two-fold interpenetrating porous MOF, MMCF-1, has been constructed based upon the custom-designed flexible tactmb ligand which features azamacrocycle and tetracarboxylate groups. MMCF-1 exhibits permanent porosity with a Langmuir surface area of 600 m² g⁻¹, and demonstrates interesting selective uptake of CO₂ over N₂. The strategy of employing a flexible azamacrocycle-based ligand represents a promising way to construct new types of functional porous MOFs for various applications, particularly gas storage and CO₂ capture. Ongoing work in our laboratories includes the custom-design of new variants of azamacrocycle-based ligands for the construction of functional MMCF materials and exploring them for applications in sensor, catalysis, and CO₂ capture.

The authors acknowledge the University of South Florida for financial support of this work. The crystal diffraction of MMCF-1 was carried out at the Advanced Photon Source on beamline 15ID-B of ChemMatCARS Sector 15 (NSF/CHE-0822838, DE-AC02-06CH113570.

References

- 1 O. M. Yaghi, M. O'Keeffe, N. W. Ockwig, H. K. Chae, M. Eddaoudi and J. Kim, *Nature*, 2003, **423**, 705.
- 2 (a) J. R. Long and O. M. Yaghi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1213; (b) O. K. Farha, A. O. Yazaydın, I. Eryazici, C. D. Malliakas, B. G. Hauser, M. G. Kanatzidis, S. T. Nguyen, R. Q. Snurr and J. T. Hupp, *Nat. Chem.*, 2010, **2**, 944; (c) H.-C. Zhou, J. R. Long and O. M. Yaghi, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 673; (d) S. R. Batten, N. R. Champness, X.-M. Chen, J. Garcia-Martinez, S. Kitagawa, L. Öhrström, M. O'Keeffe, M. P. Suh and J. Reedijk, *CrystengComm*, 2012, **14**, 3001.
- 3 (a) L. J. Murray, M. Dinca and J. R. Long, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1294; (b) S. Ma and H.-C. Zhou, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 44 (c) S. Han, Z. Ma, Y. Wei, V. C. Kravtsov, B. S. Luisi, I. Kulaots and B. Moulton, *CrystEngComm*, 2011, **13**, 4838; (d) M. P. Suh, H. J. Park, T. K. Prasad and D.-W. Lim, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 782; (e) H. Wu, Q. Gong, D. H. Olson and J. Li, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 836.
- 4 (a) J.-R. Li, R. J. Kuppler and H.-C. Zhou, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1477; (b) S. Ma, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 2009, **81**, 2235; (c) J.-R. Li, J. Sculley and H.-C. Zhou, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 869.
- 5 (a) J. Lee, O. K. Farha, J. Roberts, K. A. Scheidt, S. T. Nguyen and J. T. Hupp, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1450; (b) A. Corma, H. Garcia and F. X. Llabres i Xamena, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 4606; (c) V. Lykourinou, Y. Chen, X.-S. Wang, L. Meng, T. Hoang, L.-J. Ming, R. L. Musselman and S. Ma, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 10382.
- 6 (a) L. E. Kreno, K. Leong, O. K. Farha, M. Allendorf, R. P. V. Duyne and J. T. Hupp, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 1105; (b) Y. Cui, Y. Yue, G. Qian and B. Chen, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 1126.
- Z. Wang, K. K. Tanabe and S. M. Cohen, Chem.-Eur. J., 2010, 16, 212; (b) Z. Ma and B. Moulton, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2011, 255, 1623; (c) S. Ma and L. Meng, Pure Appl. Chem., 2011, 83, 167; (d) X.-S. Wang, L. Meng, Q. Cheng, C. Kim, L. Wojtas, M. Chrzanowski, Y.-S. Chen, X. P. Zhang and S. Ma, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 16322; (e) C. Wang, T. Zhang and W. Lin, Chem. Rev., 2012, 112, 1084; (f) W. Zhang and R. Xiong, Chem. Rev., 2012, 112, 1163; (g) S. M. Cohen, Chem. Rev., 2012, 112, 970; (h) P. Horcajada, R. Gref, T. Baati, P. K. Allan, G. Maurin, P. Couvreur, G. Ferey, R. E. Morris and C. Serre, Chem. Rev., 2012, 112, 123; (i) A. Betard and R. A. Fischer, Chem. Rev., 2012, 112, 1055; (j) S. Han, T. M. Herrmans, P. E. Fuller, Y. Wei and B. A. Grzybowski, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed, 2012, 51, 2662.
- 8 (a) S. Qiu and G. Zhu, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **253**, 2891; (b) D. J. Tranchemontagne, J. L. Mendoza-Cortes, M. O'Keeffe and O. M.

Yaghi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1257; (*c*) J. J. Perry, J. A. Perman and M. J. Zaworotko, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1400; (*d*) M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 675.

- 9 S. S.-Y. Chui, S. M.-F. Lo, J. P. H. Charmant, A. G. Orpen and I. D. William, *Science*, 1999, **283**, 1148.
- 10 N. L. Rosi, J. Eckert, M. Eddaoudi, D. T. Vodak, J. Kim, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, *Science*, 2003, 300, 1127.
- 11 N. L. Rosi, J. Kim, M. Eddaoudi, B. L. Chen, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 1504.
- 12 B. Chen, N. W. Ockwig, A. R. Millward, D. S. Contreras and O. M. Yaghi, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2005, 44, 2745.
- 13 (a) F. Nouar, J. F. Eubank, T. Bousquet, L. Wojtas, M. J. Zaworotko and M. Eddaoudi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 1833; (b) R. Luebke, J. F. Eubank, A. J. Cairns, Y. Belmabkhout, L. Wojtas and M. Eddaoudi, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 1455.
- 14 (a) O. M. Yaghi, M. O'Keeffe, N. W. Ockwig, H. K. Chae, M. Eddaoudi and J. Kim, *Nature*, 2003, **423**, 705; (b) M. O'Keeffe, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1215.
- 15 T.-F. Liu, J. Lu and R. Cao, CrystEngComm, 2010, 12, 660.
- 16 (a) J. Gao, R. A. Zingaro, J. H. Reibenspies and A. E. Martell, Org. Lett., 2004, 6, 2453; (b) J.-J. Lee, K. J. Stanger, B. C. Noll, C. Gonzalez, M. Marquez and B. D. Smith, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 4184; (c) T. Lu, X. Zhuang, Y. Li and S. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 4760; (d) L.-Z. Yang, Y. Li, X.-M. Zhuang, L. Jiang, J.-M. Chen, R. L. Luck and T.-B. Lu, Chem.-Eur. J., 2009, 15, 12399.
- 17 (a) C. A. Ilioudis, D. A. Tocher and J. W. Steed, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, **126**, 12395; (b) J.-M. Chen, X.-M. Zhuang, L.-Z. Yang, L. Jiang, X.-L. Feng and T.-B. Lu, Inorg. Chem., 2008, **47**, 3158.
- 18 (a) G. Ortiz, S. Brandes, Y. Rousselin and R. Guilard, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 6689; (b) Z. Zhang, J.-Q. Lu, D.-F. Wu, Z.-L. Chen, F.-P. Liang and Z.-L. Wang, *CrystEngComm*, 2012, **14**, 1354; (c) X.-D. Zheng, M. Zhang, L. Jiang and T.-B. Lu, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, **41**, 1786.
- 19 A. L. Spek, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A, 1990, 46, C34.
- 20 (a) A. Demessence, D. M. D'Alessandro, M. L. Foo and J. R. Long, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 8784; (b) R. Vaidhyanathan, S. S. Iremonger, G. K. H. Shimizu, P. G. Boyd, S. Alavi and T. K. Woo, Science, 2010, 330, 650; (c) R. Vaidhyanathan, S. S. Iremonger, K. W. Dawson and G. K. H. Shimizu, Chem. Commun., 2009, 5230; (d) S. Couck, J. F. M. Denayer, G. V. Baron, T. Remy, J. Gascon and F. Kapteijn, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 6326; (e) B. Arstad, H. Fjellvag, K. O. Kongshaug, O. Swang and R. Blom, Adsorption, 2008, 14, 755.
- 21 (a) H. Hayashi, A. P. Cote, H. Furukawa, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, *Nat. Mater.*, 2007, **6**, 501; (b) A. Phan, C. J. Doonan, F. J. Uribe-Romo, C. B. Knobler, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2010, **43**, 58.
- 22 (a) J.-R. Li, Y. Ma, M. C. McCarthy, J. Sculley, J. Yu, H.-K. Jeong, P. B. Balbuena and H.-C. Zhou, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **255**, 1791 (b) K. Sumida, D. L. Rogow, J. A. Mason, T. M. McDonald, E. D. Bloch, Z. R. Herm, T.-H. Bae and J. R. Long, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 724.
- 23 L. Czepirsky and J. Jagiello, Chem. Eng. Sci., 1989, 44, 797.
- 24 (a) T. M. McDonald, D. M. D'Alessandro, R. Krishna and J. R. Long, *Chem. Sci.*, 2011, **2**, 2022; (b) J. An, S. J. Geib and N. Rosi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 38; (c) X. Si, C. Jiao, F. Li, J. Zhang, S. Wang, S. Liu, Z. Li, L. Sun, F. Xu, Z. Gabelica and C. Schick, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, **4**, 4522; (d) J.-M. Gu, T.-H. Kwon, J.-H. Park and S. Huh, *Dalton Trans.*, 2010, **39**, 5608.
- 25 W.-Y. Gao, W. Yan, R. Cai, L. Meng, A. Salas, X.-S. Wang, L. Wojtas, X. Shi and S. Ma, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 4423.
- 26 A. L. Myers and J. M. Prausnitz, AIChE J., 1965, 11, 121.
- 27 (a) Y.-S. Bae, K. L. Mulfort, H. Frost, P. Ryan, S. Punnathanam, L. J. Broadbelt, J. T. Hupp and R. Q. Snurr, *Langmuir*, 2008, 24, 8592 (b) J. A. Mason, K. Sumida, Z. R. Herm, R. Krishna and J. R. Long, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, 4, 3030.
- 28 (a) Q. Lin, T. Wu, S.-T. Zheng, X. Bu and P. Feng, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, **134**, 784; (b) Y.-X. Tan, Y.-P. He and J. Zhang, Chem. Commun., 2011, **47**, 10647; (c) Y.-X. Tan, F. Wang, Y. Kang and J. Zhang, Chem. Commun., 2011, **47**, 770; (d) Y.-X. Tan, Y.-P. He and J. Zhang, Inorg. Chem., 2011, **50**, 11527.